Risk Factors for Running-Related Pain

After Childbirth



Shefali Christopher PT, DPT, SCS, LAT, ATC

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy (Physiotherapy)

July 2021

School of Health Sciences, College of Health, Medicine and Wellbeing

The University of Newcastle

This is to certify that the thesis entitled *Risk Factors for Runing-Related Pain After Childbirth*, submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Doctor of Philosophy (Physiotherapy), is in a form ready for examination.

Shefali Christopher

Date: June 30th, 2021

School of Health Sciences

College of Health, Medicine and Wellbeing

The University of Newcastle

Associate Professor Suzanne Snodgrass

Date: July 2nd, 2021

School of Health Sciences

College of Health, Medicine and Wellbeing

The University of Newcastle

Statement of Originality

I hereby certify that the work embodied in the thesis is my own work, conducted under normal supervision. The thesis contains no material which has been accepted, or is being examined, for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made. I give consent to the final version of my thesis being made available worldwide when deposited in the University's Digital Repository, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968 and any approved embargo.

Shefali Christopher

Date: June 30th, 2021

Acknowledgement of Authorship

I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis contains published papers/scholarly work of which I am a joint author. I have included as part of the thesis a written declaration endorsed in writing by my supervisor, attesting to my contribution to the joint publication/scholarly work.

By signing below, I confirm that Shefali Christopher contributed to the concept and research design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, as well as writing, reviewing and editing of the publications entitled:

- Christopher S, McCullough J, Snodgrass SJ, Cook C. Do alterations in muscle strength, flexibility, range of motion, and alignment predict lower extremity injury in runners: a systematic review. Archives of Physiotherapy. 2019;9(2):1-4
- Christopher S, McCullough J, Snodgrass SJ, Cook C. Predictive risk factors for first-onset lumbopelvic pain in postpartum women: a systematic review. Journal of Women's Health Physical Therapy. 2019 Jul 1;43(3):127-35.
- Christopher S, Garcia AN, Snodgrass SJ, Cook C. Common musculoskeletal impairments in postpartum runners: an international Delphi study. Archives of Physiotherapy. 2020 Oct;10(19)
- Christopher SM, Cook CE, Snodgrass SJ (2021) What are the biopsychosocial risk factors associated with pain in postpartum runners? Development of a clinical decision tool. PLoS ONE 16(8): e0255383. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255383

And papers submitted:

 Christopher S, Bauer L, Maylone R, Bullock G, Chinworth S, Snodgrass SJ, Vallabhajosula S. Biomechanical and musculoskeletal differences between postpartum runners and nulliparous controls. Submitted to Journal of Women's Health Physical Therapy. June 2021

Associate Professor Suzanne Snodgrass

Date: July 1st, 2021

Copyright Permission

I warrant that I have obtained, where necessary, permission from the copyright owners to use any third-party copyright material reproduced in the thesis (e.g. questionnaires, artwork, unpublished letters), or to use any of my own published work (e.g. journal articles) in which the copyright is held by another party (e.g. publisher, co-author).

Shefali Christopher

Date: June 30th, 2021

Acknowledgements

This thesis was a six-year journey that has changed my life. I am extremely grateful for this opportunity to complete my Ph.D. degree at the University of Newcastle. This journey would not have been possible without a few people, whom I would like to thank. First thank you to my co-supervisors Suzanne Snodgrass and Chad Cook. Throughout this PhD candidature, Suzanne provided exceptional guidance that helped me grow from a clinician to a researcher. Suzanne was always available to meet via zoom, even on maternity leave, to make sure I continued to progress. Her edits were invaluable, and she was always willing to give something one more read. Her constructive feedback helped me realize my strengths and work on my weaknesses. To my other co-supervisor Chad Cook, thank you for thinking of me when this opportunity presented. I now have skills that I never thought I would have had a few years ago. Thank you for teaching me to love statistics and methodology. You never sugar coated your feedback, which pushed me to do better in a variety of ways. Thank you both for letting me research postpartum runners, a topic about which I am very passionate. It is not every day that one gets to learn from some of the most accomplished academician researchers, and for that I am truly grateful.

My degree was supported by the University of Newcastle International Postgraduate Research Scholarship. I feel extremely lucky to have received this support, without which this degree would not have been possible. Thank you to all those working behind the scenes at the University of Newcastle to support higher degree research students accomplish our goals—we appreciate you. Thank you to all my co-workers and students along the way at Duke University and Elon University for cheering me on this journey.

Thank you to the 45 expert physiotherapists who assisted with the Delphi survey, the 10 experts and 5 pilot participants who helped design and create the Qualtrics survey to

measure running-related risk factors in postpartum runners, and to the 826 women who volunteered to participate in the survey study on risk factors for running-related pain postpartum. Each one of you has assisted in this project and contributed to an area of science where evidence was non-existent prior to our work.

Finally, thank you to my family. To my parents, Arati and Kapil Mathur, for teaching me to be resilient and accomplish my lofty goals. Thank you for feeding me and helping with my family as I finished up this thesis in a global pandemic. To my children Ishan and Siddharth, I hope watching me pursue and accomplish this degree teaches you to work hard and achieve whatever you put your mind to. To my husband, who picked me off the floor with every journal rejection and cheered with every acceptance throughout this journey- thank you. You have taken care of everything in our crazy life single handedly, allowing me to work all hours of the day and accomplish this goal. I love you and I am so thankful for your support and partnership.

Publications and Presentations Arising from this Thesis

Papers Published in Peer Reviewed Scientific Journals

- Christopher S, McCullough J, Snodgrass SJ, Cook C. Do alterations in muscle strength, flexibility, range of motion, and alignment predict lower extremity injury in runners: a systematic review. Archives of Physiotherapy. 2019;9(2):1-4
- Christopher S, McCullough J, Snodgrass SJ, Cook C. Predictive risk factors for first-onset lumbopelvic pain in postpartum women: a systematic review. Journal of Women's Health Physical Therapy. 2019 Jul 1;43(3):127-35.
- Christopher S, Garcia AN, Snodgrass SJ, Cook C. Common musculoskeletal impairments in postpartum runners: an international Delphi study. Archives of Physiotherapy. 2020 Oct;10(19)
- Christopher SM, Cook CE, Snodgrass SJ (2021) What are the biopsychosocial risk factors associated with pain in postpartum runners? Development of a clinical decision tool. PLoS ONE 16(8): e0255383. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255383

Abstracts Presented at Peer Reviewed Scientific Conferences

- Christopher S, Trepte, A, Snodgrass, SJ, Cook, C. Common Musculoskeletal Impairments in Postpartum Runners with Pain: An International Delphi Study.
 Poster presentation. APTA Combined Sections Meeting, Denver CO, 2020
- Christopher S, McCoullough, J, Snodgrass, SJ, Cook, C. Objective clinical musculoskeletal assessments that predict injury in runners: A systematic review

and meta-analysis. Poster APTA Combined Sections Meeting, New Orleans, LA,

2018

Table of Contents

| Statement of Originality | iii |
|--|------|
| Acknowledgement of Authorship | iv |
| Copyright Permission | vi |
| Acknowledgements | vii |
| Publications and Presentations Arising from this Thesis | ix |
| Table of Contents | xi |
| List of Figures | xvi |
| List of Tables | xvii |
| Abstract | 1 |
| Background | 1 |
| Purpose | 1 |
| Methods | 1 |
| Results | 2 |
| Conclusions | 3 |
| CHAPTER 1. Introduction | 4 |
| 1.1 Background | 4 |
| 1.1.1 Current Evidence | 6 |
| 1.2 Rationale for the Thesis | |
| 1.3 Aims and Hypothesis | |
| 1.3.1 Aims | 9 |
| 1.3.2 Specific Aims of the Studies in this Thesis | 9 |
| 1.4 Overview of Thesis | |
| 1.5 Significance | 11 |
| CHAPTER 2. Literature Review | |
| 2.1 Introduction | |
| 2.2 Risk Factors for Running Injuries and Pain in the General Population | 15 |
| 2.2.1 Personal Factors | |
| 2.2.1.1 Short Distance Runners | |
| 2.2.1.2 Long Distance Runners | |
| 2.2.1.3 Running Distance Not Reported | 41 |
| 2.2.2 Training Factors | |
| 2.2.2.1 Short Distance Runners | |
| 2.2.2.2 Long Distance Runners | |
| 2.2.2.3 Running Distance Not Reported | |

| 2.2.3 Biomechanics Factors | |
|--|----------------|
| 2.2.3.1 Short Distance Runners | 44 |
| 2.2.3.2 Long Distance Runners | 44 |
| 2.2.3.3 Running Distance Not Reported | 45 |
| 2.2.4 Health Factors | |
| 2.2.4.1 Short Distance Runners | 47 |
| 2.2.4.2 Long Distance Runners | 47 |
| 2.2.4.3 Running Distance Not Reported | 47 |
| 2.3 Risk Factors for Running Injuries and Pain in Female Runners | 47 |
| 2.3.1 Personal Factors | |
| 2.3.1.1 Short Distance Runners | |
| 2.3.1.2 Long Distance Runners | 49 |
| 2.3.2 Training Factors | 49 |
| 2.3.2.1 Short Distance Runners | 49 |
| 2.3.2.2 Long Distance Runners | 50 |
| 2.3.3 Biomechanics Factors | 50 |
| 2.3.3.1 Short Distance Runners | 50 |
| 2.3.3.2 Long Distance Runners | 50 |
| 2.3.3.3 Running Distance Not Reported | 52 |
| 2.3.4 Health Factors | 52 |
| 2.4 Risk Factors for Running Injuries and Pain in Postpartum Runners | 52 |
| 2.4.1 Personal Factors | 53 |
| 2.4.2 Training Factors | 53 |
| 2.4.3 Biomechanics Factors | 53 |
| 2.4.4 Health Factors | 53 |
| 2.5 Unique Considerations for the Postpartum Population | 54 |
| 2.5.1 Recovery from Pregnancy and Birthing Process | 55 |
| 2.5.2 Neuromuscular Weakness and Structural Changes | 55 |
| 2.5.3 Weight Gain | 56 |
| 2.5.4 Biomechanical Considerations for Running | 57 |
| 2.6 Postpartum Pain in Non-Running Populations | 58 |
| 2.6.1 Risk Factors for Pain in Postpartum Non-Running Populations | 58 |
| 2.6.2 Specific Causes of Pain in Postpartum Women | 59 |
| 2.7 Summary | 60 |
| CHAPTER 3. Do Alterations in Muscle Strength, Flexibility, Range of Mot Alignment Predict Lower Extremity Injury in Runners: A Systematic Review | ion, and 63 |
| 3.1 Overview | |
| | |

| CHAPTER 4. Predictive Risk Factors for First-Onset Lumb Women: A Systematic Review | opelvic Pain in Postpartum 78 |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 4.1 Overview | |
| 4.2 Abstract | |
| 4.2.1 Background | |
| 4.2.2 Objectives | |
| 4.2.3 Study Design | |
| 4.2.4 Methods | |
| 4.2.5 Results | |
| 4.2.6 Conclusion | |
| 4.3 Introduction | |
| 4.4 Methods | |
| 4.4.1 Study Design | |
| 4.4.2 Search Strategy | |
| 4.4.3 Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | |
| 4.4.4 Study Selection | |
| 4.4.5 Data Extraction | |
| 4.4.6 Quality Assessment | |
| 4.4.7 Risk Factors | |
| 4.5 Results | |
| 4.5.1 Study Selection and strategy | |
| 4.5.2 Quality of Studies | |
| 4.5.3 Data Extraction | |
| 4.5.3.1 Incidence of First Onset Low Back Pain | |
| 4.5.3.2 Identification of risk factors | 94 |
| 4.5.3.2.1 Back Pain | 94 |
| 4.5.3.2.1.1 Extrinsic Risk Factors | 94 |
| 4.5.3.2.1.2 Intrinsic Risk Factors | |
| 4.5.3.2.1.3 Mixed Risk Factor | |
| 4.5.3.2.2 Pelvic Pain | 96 |
| 4.6 Discussion | |
| 4.7 Conclusion | |
| 4.8 Chapter 4 Appendices | |
| 4.8.1 PRISMA Checklist | |
| 4.8.2 Search Strategy | |
| CHAPTER 5. Common Musculoskeletal Impairments in International Delphi Study | Postpartum Runners: An 108 |
| 5.1 Overview | |

| Postnartum Runners? Development of a Clinical Decision Tool | 121 with Fall |
|--|---|
| 6.1 Overview | 121 |
| CHARTER 7 Riomachanical and Musculaskalatal Differences Retwo | |
| Runners and Nulliparous Controls | |
| 7.1 Overview | |
| 7.2 Introduction | |
| 7.3 Methods | 141 |
| 7.3.1 Experimental Approach to the Problem | |
| 7.3.2 Eligibility Criteria | |
| 7.3.3 Overground Running Kinetics | |
| 7.3.4 Flexibility and Strength Measurements | |
| 7.3.5 Statistical Analysis | |
| 7.4 Results | |
| 7.4.1 Demographics | |
| 7.4.2 Overground Running Kinetics | |
| 7.4.3 Flexibility and Strength | |
| 7.5 Discussion | |
| 7.5.1 Limitations | |
| 7.6 Conclusion | |
| 7.7 Supplemental Digital Content A | |
| 7.7.1 Positioning for Lower Extremity Flexibility and Strength testing Faherty et al, 2020) | g (Gabbe et al |
| 7.7.1.1 Flexibility | |
| 7.7.1.2 Lower Extremity (LE) Strength | |
| CHAPTER 8. Summary and Conclusions | |
| 8.1 Summary of Study Findings | |
| 8.1.1 What is the evidence for alterations in muscle strength, flexibili of motion, and alignment to predict lower extremity injury in runners | ity, joint range ?156 |
| 8.1.2 What is the evidence for risk factors (modifiable and nonmodifionset lumbopelvic pain during the postpartum period? | iable) for first- |
| 8.1.3 What are the common musculoskeletal impairments and risk fain postpartum runners, as perceived by experts in female running or | actors for pair pelvic health 159 |
| 8.1.4 What biopsychosocial and musculoskeletal risk factors are as pain in postpartum runners? | ssociated with |
| 8.1.5 What are the musculoskeletal differences in overground run strength, and flexibility between postpartum runners and age-matche controls? | ning kinetics ed nulliparous |
| 8.2 Limitations of the Studios | |

| 8.2.1 Study 1 (Chapter 3) | 163 |
|---|-----------------|
| 8.2.2 Study 2 (Chapter 4) | 164 |
| 8.2.3 Study 3 (Chapter 5) | 164 |
| 8.2.4 Study 4 (Chapter 6) | 165 |
| 8.2.5 Study 5 (Chapter 7) | 166 |
| 8.3 Strength of Studies | 166 |
| 8.3.1 Overall Strength of the Thesis | 166 |
| 8.3.2 Specific Strengths of Each Study | 167 |
| 8.4 Implications for Research and Clinical Practice | 170 |
| 8.4.1 Implications for Future Research | 170 |
| 8.4.1.1 Validation of Risk Factors Associated with Running-Related Postpartum Runners | Pain in 170 |
| 8.4.1.2 Validation of Pregnancy-Related Changes and the Effects of Outcomes | Pain on |
| 8.4.1.3 Intervention Studies | 171 |
| 8.4.1.4 Future Reseach Recommendations From Each Study | 172 |
| 8.4.2 Clinical Implications | |
| 8.4.2.1 Clinical Implications from Each Study | 175 |
| 8.5 Future Research Questions | 179 |
| 8.6 Conclusions | 181 |
| CHAPTER 9. References | 183 |
| CHAPTER 10. Appendices | 225 |
| Appendix A: Statements from Co-Authors of Published Papers | 226 |
| Appendix B: Journal of Orthopedic and Sports Physical Therapy- Viewpoint | 237 |
| Appendix C: Journal of Women's Health Physical Therapy - Clinical Con | nmentary 243 |
| Appendix D: Delphi Survey Consent and Survey Round I | |
| Appendix E: Delphi Round II Survey | |
| Appendix F: Delphi Round III Survey | |
| Appendix G: Survey Flyer (Study 4, Chapter 6) | 408 |
| Appendix H: Survey Questionnaire (Study 4, Chapter 6) | 410 |
| | |

List of Figures

| Figure 4.1 PRISMA flow diagram | |
|--|----------------|
| Figure 7.1 Comparison of mean instantaneous braking loading rates betwee | en postpartum |
| runners and nulliparous controls | 146 |
| Figure 7.2 Comparison of mean hamstring flexibility between postpartun | n runners and |
| nulliparous controls | |
| Figure 7.3 Comparison of mean A) Hip abduction strength and B) hip adduction | ction strength |
| between postpartum runners and nulliparous controls. | 148 |

List of Tables

| Table 1.1 USA Running Trends 2020 Report data, which summarizes everyone who |
|--|
| registered for USA running-related races in 20194 |
| Table 2.1 Comprehensive summary of risk factors associated with running-related injury |
| in the general, female and postpartum populations respectively16 |
| Table 4.1 Quality of prognostic study tool results in the four articles |
| Table 4.2 Predictive risk factors for lumbopelvic pain reported in the studies included in |
| this review |
| Table 4.3 Strength of significant odds ratios for postpartum first onset low back pain 95 |
| Table 7.1 Comparing mean±SD of overground running kinetics from vertical ground |
| reaction force between postpartum runners and nulliparous controls |
| Table 7.2 Comparing mean±SD of overground running kinetics from antero-posterior |
| ground reaction force between postpartum runners and nulliparous controls |
| Table 7.3 Comparing mean±SD of bone mineral density, flexibility and strength between |
| postpartum runners and nulliparous controls |

Abstract

Background

Women are initiating or returning to running for exercise after childbirth while also recovering from a myriad of perinatal changes to the body. For these women, integration into a high impact sport is not easy; up to 35% of postpartum runners report painful running. While there are established evidence-based rehabilitation protocols for returning to sport after a major injury, such evidence does not exist for the postpartum running population. This is likely due to a lack of evidence on possible musculoskeletal risk factors associated with running-related pain in postpartum women. A baseline understanding of potential risk factors for running-related pain in postpartum runners is needed to provide a starting point for future validation and interventional studies.

Purpose

The overall aim of this thesis is to identify possible risk factors for running-related pain in postpartum runners and determine their relationship to running, postpartum variables, and movement kinematics.

Methods

Because information on risk factors for running-related musculoskeletal injury in postpartum runners was non-existent, a systematic review was initially performed to understand the relationship between running injury and musculoskeletal strength, flexibility, range of motion and alignment alterations. A separate systematic review was also conducted to understand the risk factors associated with first-onset lumbopelvic pain (the most common type of pain) in postpartum women. A Delphi study was performed to gain expert consensus on musculoskeletal impairments and running-related risk factors observed in postpartum runners. The information gathered from these studies was used to design and conduct a survey of postpartum runners with and without running-related pain to explore the relationship between demographic, postpartum and running-related risk factors, and pain. Since the effects of postpartum musculoskeletal changes on running are unknown, a laboratory study determined kinematic and musculoskeletal differences between postpartum runners and nulliparous controls.

Results

Both systematic reviews found low-quality evidence and bias within the studies reviewed. Seven studies found seven clinical assessments that predict running-related pain: hip strength, range of motion, flexibility, and alignment, and, knee strength and ankle alignment. Four studies identified five risk factors associated with first-onset postpartum lumbopelvic pain: C-section with epidural anesthesia, length of first stage labor, race, age and urinary tract infections. The 45 experts in the Delphi study reached consensus on the following risk factors observed in postpartum runners: abdominal, hip, and pelvic floor weakness, hip extension restriction, anterior pelvic tilt, general hypermobility, laxity in the abdominal wall, tightness in hip flexors, lumbar extensors, iliotibial band and hamstrings, a Trendelenburg sign, dynamic knee valgus, lumbar lordosis, over-pronation, and thoracic kyphosis. The survey of 538 postpartum runners found six variables that increased the odds of postpartum running-related pain: runner type-novice, postpartum accumulated fatigue scale score, previous running injury, most recent delivery-vaginal, incontinence, and amount of sleep. Using these variables, a clinical tool was created that indicated a 62% probability of having postpartum running-related pain if 4 of 6 variables were present. The laboratory study concluded that postpartum runners had 24.3% greater braking loading rate (mean difference (MD): 3.41 NBW/s; 95% CI 0.08, 6.74), 14% less hamstring flexibility (MD:10.98°; 0.97, 20.99), 25.9% less hip abduction (MD: 0.04

NBW, 95% CI 0.00, 0.08), and 51.6% less hip adduction strength (MD: 0.06 NBW; 0.02, 0.10) than controls.

Conclusions

This thesis established the first steps in identifying running-related risk factors in postpartum runners. This baseline understanding of potential risk factors for running-related pain provides a starting point for prospective studies to investigate risk factors for the onset of running-related pain in postpartum runners. It can also assist health care providers educate postpartum runners and develop interventions to assist postpartum women to stay injury free as they initiate or return to running.